

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/050,162 | 01/18/2002 | Jun-ichi Yamato | ND-415US | 7609 |
| 7590 03/31/2008 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C. | | | EXAMINER | |
| SUITE 340 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD P.O. BOX 9204 RESTON, VA 20190 | | | BROWN, RUEBEN M | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2623 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/31/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|
| 10/050,162 | YAMATO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| REUBEN M. BROWN | 2623 | |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. Mar reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this

1. (a) The repry was nied after a final rejection, our prior to or on the same day as fitting a votice of Appeal. I o avoid abandon/ment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affdavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee bunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from; (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3.
 \(\times \) The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 (a) \(\times \) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) \(\times \) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal: and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
- NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

 4.

 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ______.
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s).
 7. ⊠ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) allowed: _____ Claim(s) objected to: ___

Claim(s) rejected: 2.4 and 13-16.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___ AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
- REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information *Disclosure Statement*(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). ______

/Chris Kelley/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2623

Reuben M. Brown Patent Examiner Art Unit: 2623

Continuation of 3, NOTE: The proposed amendments to claim 2, requiring, 'a recorded progam database for storing information identifying externally broadcast progams that have been recorded by said means for recording said externally broadcast programs, a progam playback section for retrieving and playing externally broadcast progams stored in said received program database; inputting means for receiving user commands relating to the electronic program guide displayed by said means for displaying the electronic program guide received from said electronic program guide server, wherein said receiving includes receiving user commands identifying an acquisition with said electronic program guide; and an operating instruction section for controlling said program playback section based on said electronic program guide displayed by said means for displaying and on user commands, wherein said preparing performed by said means for preparing a program condition includes preparing the progam condition data further based on said information stored in said recorded program database identifying extreanily broadcast programs that have been recorded; and wherein said preparing performed by said means for preparing an electronic program guide further includes; identifying a present data and time and the user-input acquisition period received at said inputting means, a time relation of the identified present time and the user-input acquisitoin period as being one of the identified present data and time being within the user-input acquisition period being earlier than the identified present data and time, and the identified user-input acquisition period being later than the identified present data and time; determining, in response to determining the identified present data and time..., confirming, in response to determining the user-input acquisition period..., confirming, in response to determining the user-input acquisition period, represents new issues which would require further search and/or consideration. Even though proposed claim 1 comprised subject matter similar to that found in claims 13-14, however the claim had never presented in such a combination.